

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,590 10/26/2001		Alexander Tormasov	2230.0380001/MBR/GSB	8122	
54089	7590 01/26/2006	•	EXAMINER		
BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C. 910 17TH STREET, N.W.			BATAILLE, PI	BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE	
SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2186		
		DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/005,590	TORMASOV ET AL.	TORMASOV ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Pierre-Michel Bataille	2186		

	Pierre-Michel Bataille	2186					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FINST REPLT WAS F	ILED MITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS	•	()					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ootod olamio.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	, -,	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	·	·	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 10-45</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•	, ,,	•				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	IO(S)					
13.		Pierre-Michel Batai					
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2186					

PIERRE BATAILLE

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly presented features changing the scope claims require further search and consideration.